UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,702	04/28/2006	Masao Yanagawa	023174-0161	3178
	7590 08/04/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	TNW	LEE, RIP A		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,702	YANAGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RIP A. LEE	1796		

	TAI 74: EEE	1730	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affid eal (with appeal fee) in complian	avit, or other evidence, which place ce with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Req	s the
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	iling date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR stension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	ant of the fee. The appropriate extension riginally set in the final Office action; or	n fee (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 25 July 2008. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the ap	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	IOTE below);	or
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	l16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendment canceling	g the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 7 and 8. Claim(s) rejected: 1,7 and 9-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an explanation	of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fails to provide	e a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attached.	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowance because	e:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Rip A. Lee/ Art Unit: 1796		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims are drawn to a process different in scope compared with the claimed process prior to amendment; notably, claims are drawn to a different class of catalyst; further consideration and further search is required.